

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/233,475	01/20/1999		KUNIHIKO WAKABAYASHI	017344-0290	7383
7:	590	11/07/2002			
FOLEY & LA	RDNER	EXAMINER			
WASHINGTO 3000 K STREE		₹	CHOW, MING		
SUITE 500					
WASHINGTO:	N, DC 200	078696	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2645	
				DATE MAILED: 11/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

11

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/233,475	WAKABAYASHI, KUNIHIKO
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ming Chow	2645
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Co.  1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	CFR 1.704(b). S Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		NOTELL
(a) ★ they raise new issues that would require further	,	see NOTE below);
(b) they are not deemed to place the application in	• •	rially radicaing or aimplifying the
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	( / · )	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		•
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 10. Other:	SUP	FAN TSANG ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
		Jan Jen _
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)  Advi	sory Action	Part of Paper No. 9

Part of Paper No. 9

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed claims 1 and 2 stating "attached to the digitized voice" is not supported by the specifications...